

Towards Automatic Proofs for Symmetric Encryption Modes

Martin Gagné² **Pascal Lafourcade**¹ Yassine Lakhnech¹
Reihaneh Safavi-Naini²

¹ Université Grenoble 1, CNRS, VERIMAG, FRANCE

² Department of Computer Science, University of Calgary, Canada

FormatCrypt meeting: June 19th 2009 (Paris)

Symmetric key and public key encryption


- Symmetric key encryption



- Public key encryption



Symmetric Encryption of Large Files



Indistinguishability (IND)



The adversary is not able to **guess in polynomial-time even a bit of the plain-text knowing the cipher-text**, notion introduced by S. Goldwasser and S.Micali ([GM84]).

Related Works

- BDJR97: A Concrete Security Treatment of Symmetric Encryption
- CDELL08: Towards Automated Proofs for Asymmetric Encryption Schemes in the Random Oracle Model
- Many papers based on game approach ...

IND for Symmetric Encryption Mode \mathcal{E}_M

- Sample $b \xleftarrow{R} \{0, 1\}$.
- $(s, m_0, m_1) \xleftarrow{R} \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{E}_M}(\eta)$
- $b' \xleftarrow{R} \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{E}_M}(\eta, s, \mathcal{E}_M(m_b))$
- return b' .

Definition

$$\text{ADV}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{IND}^{\text{CPA}}}(\eta)$$

$$\Pr[b' \xleftarrow{R} \text{IND}_{\text{CPA}}^{b=1}(\mathcal{A}) : b' = 1] - \Pr[b' \xleftarrow{R} \text{IND}_{\text{CPA}}^{b=0}(\mathcal{A}) : b' = 1]$$

\mathcal{E}_M is IND-CPA secure if $\text{ADV}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ind-CPA}}(\eta)$ is negligible for any polynomial-time adversary $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{E}_M}$.

Outline

- 1 Block cipher modes
 - ECB
 - CBC
 - Others
- 2 Generic Encryption Mode
- 3 Our Approach
- 4 New Hoare Logic
- 5 Result
- 6 Conclusion

Outline

- 1 Block cipher modes
 - ECB
 - CBC
 - Others
- 2 Generic Encryption Mode
- 3 Our Approach
- 4 New Hoare Logic
- 5 Result
- 6 Conclusion

Block Cipher Modes


NIST standard

- Electronic Code Book (ECB)
- Cipher Block Chaining (CBC)
- Cipher FeedBack mode (CFB)
- Output FeedBack (OFB), and
- Counter mode (CTR).


Others

DMC, CBC-MAC, IACBC, IAPM, XCB, TMAC, HCTR, HCH, EME, EME*, PEP, OMAC, TET, CMC, GCM, EAX, XEX, TAE, TCH, TBC, CCM, ABL4


Each block of the same length is encrypted separately.




Electronic Codebook (ECB) mode encryption




Each block of the same length is encrypted separately.



Electronic Codebook (ECB) mode encryption



Electronic Codebook (ECB) mode decryption



Attack on ECB

Adversary $A^{\mathcal{E}_K(LR(\cdot, \cdot, b))}$

$M_0 \leftarrow 0^n || 1^n;$

$M_1 \leftarrow 0^{2n};$

$C[1]C[2] \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_K(LR(M_0, M_1, b))$


If $C[1] = C[2]$ then return 1 else return 0

$$\mathcal{E}_K(LR(m_l, m_r, b)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_K(m_l) & \text{if } b = 1 \\ \mathcal{E}_K(m_r) & \text{if } b = 0 \end{cases}$$

$C[i]$ denotes the i -th block of a string C .

$$Adv_{SE}^{IND-CPA}(A) = 1 - 0 = 1$$

Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) Encryption




Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode encryption



$$C_i = E_K(P_i \oplus C_{i-1}), C_0 = IV$$

Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) Decryption



Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode decryption



$$P_i = D_K(C_i) \oplus C_{i-1}, C_0 = IV$$

CBC and others

CBC

$$IV \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{U};$$

$$z_1 := IV \oplus m_1;$$

$$c_1 := \mathcal{E}(z_1);$$

$$z_2 := c_1 \oplus m_2;$$

$$c_2 := \mathcal{E}(z_2);$$

$$z_3 := c_2 \oplus m_3;$$

$$c_3 := \mathcal{E}(z_3);$$

CTR

$$IV \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{U};$$

$$z_1 := \mathcal{E}(IV + 1);$$

$$c_1 := m_1 \oplus z_1;$$

$$z_2 := \mathcal{E}(IV + 2);$$

$$c_2 := m_2 \oplus z_2;$$

$$z_3 := \mathcal{E}(IV + 3);$$

$$c_3 := m_3 \oplus z_3;$$

OFB

$$IV \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{U};$$

$$z_1 := \mathcal{E}(IV);$$

$$c_1 := m_1 \oplus z_1;$$

$$z_2 := \mathcal{E}(z_1);$$

$$c_2 := m_2 \oplus z_2;$$

$$z_3 := \mathcal{E}(z_2);$$

$$c_3 := m_3 \oplus z_3;$$

CFB

$$IV \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{U};$$

$$z_1 := \mathcal{E}(IV);$$

$$c_1 := m_1 \oplus z_1;$$

$$z_2 := \mathcal{E}(c_1);$$

$$c_2 := m_2 \oplus z_2;$$

$$z_3 := \mathcal{E}(c_2);$$

$$c_3 := m_3 \oplus z_3;$$

Outline

- 1 Block cipher modes
 - ECB
 - CBC
 - Others
- 2 Generic Encryption Mode**
- 3 Our Approach
- 4 New Hoare Logic
- 5 Result
- 6 Conclusion

Grammar

$$\begin{aligned} c \quad ::= & \quad x \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{U} \mid x := \mathcal{E}(y) \mid x := \mathcal{E}^{-1}(y) \\ & \mid x := y \oplus z \mid x := y \parallel z \mid x := y[n, m] \\ & \mid x := y + 1 \mid c_1; c_2 \end{aligned}$$

Generic Encryption Mode

Definition

A generic encryption mode M is represented by

$$\mathcal{E}_M(m_1 | \dots | m_p, c_0 | \dots | c_p) : \mathbf{var} \vec{x}; c$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{CBC}(m_1 | m_2 | m_3, IV | c_1 | c_2 | c_3) :$$

$$\mathbf{var} z_1, z_2, z_3;$$

$$IV \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{U};$$

$$z_1 := IV \oplus m_1;$$

$$c_1 := \mathcal{E}(z_1);$$

$$z_2 := c_1 \oplus m_2;$$

$$c_2 := \mathcal{E}(z_2);$$

$$z_3 := c_2 \oplus m_3;$$

$$c_3 := \mathcal{E}(z_3);$$

Outline

- 1 Block cipher modes
 - ECB
 - CBC
 - Others
- 2 Generic Encryption Mode
- 3 Our Approach**
- 4 New Hoare Logic
- 5 Result
- 6 Conclusion

Predicates

$$\psi ::= \text{Indis}(\nu x; V) \mid F(e) \mid E(\mathcal{E}, e) \mid Rcounter(e)$$

$$\varphi ::= \text{true} \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi \mid \psi,$$

$\text{Indis}(\nu x; V)$: any adversary has negligible probability to distinguish whether he is given results of computations performed using the value of x or a random value, when he is given the values of the variables in V .

$F(e)$: means e is a fresh random value.

$E(\mathcal{E}, e)$: the probability that the value of e has been submitted to the symmetric encryption \mathcal{E} is negligible.

$RCounter(e)$: means that e is the most recent value of a counter that started at a fresh random value.

Remark:

$$F(e) \Rightarrow \text{Indis}(\nu e)$$

$$F(e) \Rightarrow E(\mathcal{E}, e)$$

More Formally

- $X \models \text{true}$.
- $X \models \varphi \wedge \varphi'$ iff $X \models \varphi$ and $X \models \varphi'$.
- $X \models \text{Indis}(\nu x; V)$ iff $[u \stackrel{r}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{U}; (S, \mathcal{E}) \stackrel{r}{\leftarrow} X : (S(u, V), \mathcal{E})] \sim [(S, \mathcal{E}) \stackrel{r}{\leftarrow} X : (S(x, V), \mathcal{E})]$
- $X \models E(\mathcal{E}, e)$ iff $\Pr[(S, \mathcal{E}) \stackrel{r}{\leftarrow} X : S(e) \in S(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}).dom]$ is negligible.
- $X \models F(e)$ iff $e \in S(F)$.
- $X \models RCounter(e)$ iff $e \in S(C)$.

Semantic of the Programming Language

$$\llbracket x \stackrel{r}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{U} \rrbracket(S, \mathcal{E}) = \llbracket u \stackrel{r}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{U} : (S\{x \mapsto u, F \mapsto F \cup \{x\}\}, \mathcal{E}) \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket x := \mathcal{E}(y) \rrbracket(S, \mathcal{E}) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta(S\{x \mapsto v, F \mapsto F \cup \{x\} \setminus \{y\}\}, \mathcal{E}) & \text{if } (S(y), v) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}} \\ \delta(S\{x \mapsto v, F \mapsto F \cup \{x\} \setminus \{y\}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}} \mapsto S(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}) \cdot (S(y), v)\}, \mathcal{E}) & \text{if } (S(y), v) \notin \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}} \\ & \text{and } v = \mathcal{E}(S(y)) \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket x := \mathcal{E}^{-1}(y) \rrbracket(S, \mathcal{E}) = \delta(S\{x \mapsto \mathcal{E}^{-1}(S(y)), F \mapsto F \setminus \{x, y\}\}, \mathcal{E})$$

$$\llbracket x := y \oplus z \rrbracket(S, \mathcal{E}) = \delta(S\{x \mapsto S(y) \oplus S(z), F \mapsto F \setminus \{x, y, z\}\}, \mathcal{E})$$

$$\llbracket x := y || z \rrbracket(S, \mathcal{E}) = \delta(S\{x \mapsto S(y) || S(z), F \mapsto F \setminus \{x, y, z\}\}, \mathcal{E})$$

$$\llbracket x := y[n, m] \rrbracket(S, \mathcal{E}) = \delta(S\{x \mapsto S(y)[n, m], F \mapsto F \setminus \{x, y\}\}, \mathcal{E})$$

$$\llbracket x := y + 1 \rrbracket(S, \mathcal{E}) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta(S\{x \mapsto S(y) + 1, C \mapsto C \cup \{x\} \setminus \{y\}, F \mapsto F \setminus \{x, y\}\}, \mathcal{E}) & \text{if } y \in F \text{ or } y \in C \\ \delta(S\{x \mapsto S(y) + 1, F \mapsto F \setminus \{x, y\}\}, \mathcal{E}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket c_1; c_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket c_2 \rrbracket \circ \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket$$

Main Result

Prop

Let $\mathcal{E}_M(m_1 | \dots | m_p, c_0 | \dots | c_p) : \mathbf{var} \vec{x}; c$ be a generic encryption mode, and let $IO = \{m_1, \dots, m_p, c_0, \dots, c_p\}$. Then \mathcal{E}_M is IND-CPA secure, if $\{\text{true}\}c \wedge_{i=0}^{i=p} \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_i; IO)\}$ is valid.

Outline

- 1 Block cipher modes
 - ECB
 - CBC
 - Others
- 2 Generic Encryption Mode
- 3 Our Approach
- 4 New Hoare Logic**
- 5 Result
- 6 Conclusion

Random Assignment:

- (R1) $\{true\} x \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{U} \{F(x)\}$
- (R2) $\{Indis(\nu y; V)\} x \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{U} \{Indis(\nu y; V, x)\}$

Using Lemma and (R1) we obtain $\{Indis(\nu x)\}$ and $\{E(\mathcal{E}, x)\}$, this combination is often used in the examples.

Block Cipher Rules:

- (B1) $\{E(\mathcal{E}, y)\} x := \mathcal{E}(y) \{F(x)\}$
- (B2) $\{\text{Indis}(\nu y; V)\} x := \mathcal{E}(y) \{\text{Indis}(\nu y; V)\}$
- (B3) $\{Rcounter(y)\} x := \mathcal{E}(y) \{Rcounter(y)\}$

Increment:

- (I1) $\{F(y)\} x := y + 1$
 $\{RCounter(x)\} \wedge \{E(\mathcal{E}, x)\} \wedge \{Indis(\nu y; Var - x)\}$
- (I2) $\{RCounter(y)\} x := y + 1 \{RCounter(x)\} \wedge \{E(\mathcal{E}, x)\}$
- (I3) $\{Indis(\nu z; V)\} x := y + 1 \{Indis(\nu z; V - x)\}$ if $z \neq x, y$
and $y \notin V$

Xor operator:

- (X1) $\{\text{Indis}(\nu y; V, y, z)\} x := y \oplus z \{\text{Indis}(\nu x; V, x, z)\},$
- (X2) $\{\text{Indis}(\nu y; V, x)\} x := y \oplus z \{\text{Indis}(\nu y; V)\},$
- (X3) $\{\text{Indis}(\nu t; V, y, z)\} x := y \oplus z \{\text{Indis}(\nu t; V, x, y, z)\}$ if $t \neq x, y, z$
- (X4) $\{F(y)\} x := y \oplus z \{E(\mathcal{E}, x)\}$ if $y \neq z$
- (X5) $\{E(\mathcal{E}, z)\} x := y \oplus z \{E(\mathcal{E}, z)\}$

Concatenation:

- (C1) $\{\text{Indis}(\nu y; V, y, z)\} \wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu z; V, y, z)\} \ x := y \| z$
 $\{\text{Indis}(\nu x; V, x)\}$ if $y, z \notin V$
- (C2) $\{\text{Indis}(\nu t; V, y, z)\} \ x := y \| z \ \{\text{Indis}(\nu t; V, x, y, z)\}$ if
 $t \neq x, y, z$

Generic preservation rules:

The following rules are sound, when $x \notin V$. Assume that $z \neq x, w, v$ and c is either $x \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{U}$, $x := w \parallel v$, $x := w \oplus v$, $x := \mathcal{E}(w)$ or $x := w + 1$:

- (G1) $\{\text{Indis}(vz; V)\} c \{\text{Indis}(vz; V)\}$ provided c is not $x := w + 1$
- (G2) $\{\text{E}(\mathcal{E}, z)\} c \{\text{E}(\mathcal{E}, z)\}$
- (G3) $\{\text{RCounter}(z)\} c \{\text{RCounter}(z)\}$
- (G4) $\{F(z)\} c \{F(z)\}$

Outline

- 1 Block cipher modes
 - ECB
 - CBC
 - Others
- 2 Generic Encryption Mode
- 3 Our Approach
- 4 New Hoare Logic
- 5 Result**
- 6 Conclusion

Example: CBC

$$\mathcal{E}_{CBC}(m_1|m_2|m_3, IV|c_1|c_2|c_3)$$

$$\text{var } IV, z_1, z_2, z_3;$$

$IV \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{U};$	$\{\text{Indis}(\nu IV; \text{Var})\} \wedge F(IV) \wedge \{E(\mathcal{E}, IV)\}$	(R1)
$z_1 := IV \oplus m_1;$	$\{\text{Indis}(\nu IV; \text{Var} - z_1)\} \wedge \{E(\mathcal{E}, z_1)\}$	(X2)(X4)
$c_1 := \mathcal{E}(z_1);$	$\{\text{Indis}(\nu IV; \text{Var} - z_1)\}$	(G1)
	$\wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_1; \text{Var})\} \wedge \{F(c_1)\}$	(B1)
$z_2 := c_1 \oplus m_2;$	$\{\text{Indis}(\nu IV; \text{Var} - z_1)\}$	(G1)
	$\wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_1; \text{Var} - z_2)\} \wedge \{E(\mathcal{E}, z_1)\}$	(X2)(X4)
$c_2 := \mathcal{E}(z_2);$	$\{\text{Indis}(\nu IV; \text{Var} - z_1)\} \wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_1; \text{Var} - z_2)\}$	(G1)
	$\wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_2; \text{Var})\} \wedge F(c_2)$	(B1)
$z_3 := c_2 \oplus m_3;$	$\{\text{Indis}(\nu IV; \text{Var} - z_1)\} \wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_1; \text{Var} - z_2)\}$	(G1)
	$\wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_2; \text{Var} - z_3)\} \wedge \{E(\mathcal{E}, z_3)\}$	(X2)(X4)
$c_3 := \mathcal{E}(z_3);$	$\{\text{Indis}(\nu IV; \text{Var} - z_1)\} \wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_1; \text{Var} - z_2)\}$	(G1)
	$\wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_2; \text{Var} - z_3)\} \wedge \{\text{Indis}(\nu c_3; \text{Var})\}$	(B1)

Outline

- 1 Block cipher modes
 - ECB
 - CBC
 - Others
- 2 Generic Encryption Mode
- 3 Our Approach
- 4 New Hoare Logic
- 5 Result
- 6 Conclusion**

Summary

- Generic Encryption Mode
- New predicates
- Hoare Logic for proving generic encryption mode IND-CPA
- Automatic proof of CBC, FBC, OFB CFB.

Future Works

- Hybrid encryption
- using LSFR (Dual Encryption Mode)
- using Hash function
- using mathematics (GMC)
- IND-CCA ?
Desai 2000: New Paradigms for Constructing Symmetric Encryption Schemes Secure against Chosen-Ciphertext Attack

Thank you for your attention.

Questions ?